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## Stellar environments

What do we know? And how ....

- Primary input to Astrophysics is the observations of (very) distant objects through astronomers
$\rightarrow$ indirect measurements
- At the first glance for we just look at rather hot surfaces of stars ...


## Thermodynamics defines the boundaries


... and that's what we want to know.

## Start: <br> Basic properties:

- Temperature
- Size

Observables:

- Apparent Magnitude
- Spectrum


## Magnitude/Distance analysis (Inverse Square Law)

Apparent magnitude and absolute magnitude (or luminosity) can only be related if distance is known!

Example: solar luminosity

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\text {sol }}=3.846 \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~W}
$$

@ 1 AU flux is $F=1365 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$

$$
F=L /\left(4 \pi r^{2}\right)
$$


@ 10pc it is $F=3.208 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$
$\rightarrow$ comparison is done at 10 pc (absolute magnitude)


## Magnitude/Distance analysis



## E.g. direct method:

Parallax measurement 1 pc $=3.086 \ldots 10^{16} \mathrm{~m}$ $=3.262 \ldots$. ly

High Precision Parallax Collecting Satellite 1989-1993
0.001 " reolution $\rightarrow \quad 1 \%$ (30ly) 400 stars,

10\% (300ly) 28000 stars
(Milky Way diameter: 100‘000 ly)
$\rightarrow$ indirect methods: red-shift, cepheids, SN


## Spectral analysis (blackbody radiation)

Wiens law
$\lambda_{\max }{ }^{\top}=0.0029 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~K}$ 3000 K - 970 nm (IR) 10000K - 290 nm (UV)

and (wave length dependênd) classification (+ absorption lines)


Riegel (~ 10000 K )

## Spectral analysis (blackbody radiation)

and conditions how the light was emitted ...

## Stefan-Boltzmann eq.

 ( $\sigma=5.67 \ldots 10^{-8} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~m}^{-2} \mathrm{~K}^{-4}$ )$\mathrm{L}=4 \pi \mathrm{R}^{2} \sigma \mathrm{~T}^{4}$
e.g. Sun T: 5777K

R: 0.6955 Mio km
$\rightarrow$ L: $3.839 \times 10^{26} \mathrm{~W}$
$\rightarrow$ Model input
$\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{R}$ from $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r}$ \& absorption


## Lifetime measurements with radioisotopes

${ }^{147} \mathrm{Sm} \stackrel{\alpha}{ }{ }^{143} \mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}: 1.06 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{y}$ ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd} \alpha$ decay, $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}: 2.29 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{y}$

$\rightarrow$ Moon surface is $\sim 5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{y}$ old

... so the sun should be as old (at least) !

## Consequences:



And how are heavier elements ( $\mathrm{A} \geq 56$ ) produced?
EN-AM-ZOO.DE

2.24 g Au

Stars use nuclear energy (fusion)
$\rightarrow$ seed production up ~ A=56
$\rightarrow$ ‘slow' process

## solar abundances


mass number A

## ... predominantly via slow and rapid neutron capture



Why (and where) are radioactive beams involved?

Examples:

1. pp (III) in the sun
2. r-process
3. n-star EOS

## Tomography of the sun via neutrinos!

## ... and radioactive isotopes in the sun

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { simple idea } 4 \mathrm{p} \rightarrow{ }^{4} \mathrm{He}+2 \mathrm{e}^{+}+2 v \\
& \Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=26.73 \mathrm{MeV} \\
& \mathrm{Q}_{\text {eff }}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad \text { however: }
\end{aligned}
$$



${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow{ }^{8} \mathrm{~B}+\gamma$ in the laboratory (direct measurement)
${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ is unstable ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=53 \mathrm{~d}$ )

## Temperature in the sun: ~ 15 Mio K

av. kin. energy $3 / 2 \mathrm{kT}=8,6 \cdot 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{K}$ * $1.5 \cdot 10^{7} \mathrm{~K}=1290 \mathrm{eV}$
$\rightarrow$ high energy tail of Boltzmann distribution
$\propto e^{-E / k T}$
Coulomb repulsion
$\rightarrow$ tunneling through barrier $\propto e^{-b / V E}$
$\rightarrow$ low energy $x$-sec (de Broglie wave length) $\propto \pi(h / p)^{2}$ i.e. 1/E
$\rightarrow$ Maximum ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma) @ 18 \mathrm{keV}$, very low energy on MeV scale!

LUNA (2009): ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}(\mathbf{\alpha}, \mathrm{y})^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ 0.02 pb ( 2 events $/ \mathrm{m}$ ) @ 16keV searching for a resonance around 22 keV (Gamov peak).

Max.: $(\mathrm{bkT} / 2)^{2 / 3}=1.22\left(\mathrm{Z}_{1}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{2}{ }^{2} \mu \mathrm{~T}_{6}{ }^{2}\right)^{1 / 3} \mathrm{keV}$


## Possible way out ...

Coulomb dissociation (CD)
Study inverse process (detailed balance)
${ }^{8} \mathrm{~B}$ is unstable ( $\mathrm{T}_{1 / 2}=0.77 \mathrm{~s}$ )
$\rightarrow^{8} \mathrm{~B}(\gamma, \mathrm{p})$ measure cross section $\sigma_{\mathrm{CD}}$ and relative energy ${ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}$ and p (starts at 0 threshold)

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{CD}}}{\mathrm{dE}_{\gamma}} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}} \frac{\text { virtual photon theory }}{\mathrm{dE}_{\gamma}} \sigma_{(\gamma, p)} \\
\downarrow & \text { detailed balance }
\end{array}\right\} \begin{gathered}
\sigma_{(\gamma, p)}=\frac{\left(2 \mathrm{~J}_{7 \mathrm{Be}}+1\right)\left(2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{p}}+1\right)}{2\left(2 \mathrm{~J}_{8 \mathrm{~B}}+1\right)} \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{cm}}^{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{\gamma}^{2}} \sigma_{(p, \gamma)}
\end{gathered}
$$



## Both methods work ... and deliver comparable results !

$$
\sigma(\mathrm{E})=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{E} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{V}}
$$

$\rightarrow S(E)$ describes nuclear structure


## Extrapolation from 100keV to relevant low energy still necessary <br> resolution (CD) <br> vs. <br> rate (direct)

## To be explained ...

## Radioactive Beam Studies:

Specific ( $\boldsymbol{x}, \gamma$ ) reactions
${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(2 \mathrm{n}, \gamma)^{6} \mathrm{He},{ }^{7} \mathrm{Be}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{8} \mathrm{~B},{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma)^{15} \mathrm{C},{ }^{26} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{27} \mathrm{P},{ }^{15} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{17} \mathrm{Ne}$, ${ }^{31,32} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{p}, \gamma)^{32,33} \mathrm{Ar}, \ldots$

Structure input for extrapolation of nuclear data

Neutron matter very exotic systems, ${ }^{x_{n}}, 5,7 \mathrm{H},{ }^{9,10} \mathrm{He},{ }^{12,13} \mathrm{Li}, \ldots$
precise study asymmetry EOS via excitations



## At the Outskirts: Three bodies find together



## Physics with radioactive beams



## What's exotic in Exotic Nuclei

stable

binding energies (B) of valence nucleons small proton and neutron density distributions differ

## Exotica: Haloes


${ }^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}$


${ }^{11} \mathrm{Li}$

## The nuclear Halo

Threshold phenomenon resulting from a bound state close to the continuum

Low separation energy + short range of nuclear force allow tunnelling into the space surrounding the core
e.g. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{Be}$

Spatially separated clusters

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi(r) \rightarrow \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r} \\
& \kappa=\frac{\sqrt{2 m S_{n}}}{\hbar}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Cross section reflects size (Tanihata 1985)



$$
\sigma_{I}(p, t)=\pi\left[R_{I}(p)+R_{I}(t)\right]^{2}
$$

$\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{C}$ and Al

$R\left({ }^{11} \mathrm{Li}\right)=\mathbf{3 . 1 0 ( 1 4 )} \mathrm{fm}$
I. Tanihata et al., PRL 55 (1985) 2676

## Transmission Experiment



## Observation of a Large Reaction Cross Section in the Drip-Line Nucleus ${ }^{\mathbf{2 2}} \mathbf{C}$

K. Tanaka, ${ }^{1}$ T. Yamaguchi, ${ }^{2}$ T. Suzuki, ${ }^{2}$ T. Ohtsubo, ${ }^{3}$ M. Fukuda, ${ }^{4}$ D. Nishimura, ${ }^{4}$ M. Takechi, ${ }^{4,1}$ K. Ogata, ${ }^{5}$ A. Ozawa, ${ }^{6}$ T. Izumikawa, ${ }^{7}$ T. Aiba, ${ }^{3}$ N. Aoi, ${ }^{1}$ H. Baba, ${ }^{1}$ Y. Hashizume, ${ }^{6}$ K. Inafuku, ${ }^{8}$ N. Iwasa, ${ }^{8}$ K. Kobayashi, ${ }^{2}$ M. Komuro, ${ }^{2}$ Y. Kondo, ${ }^{9}$ T. Kubo, ${ }^{1}$ M. Kurokawa, ${ }^{1}$ T. Matsuyama, ${ }^{3}$ S. Michimasa, ${ }^{1, *}$ T. Motobayashi, ${ }^{1}$ T. Nakabayashi, ${ }^{9}$ S. Nakajima, ${ }^{2}$ T. Nakamura, ${ }^{9}$ H. Sakurai, ${ }^{1}$ R. Shinoda, ${ }^{2}$ M. Shinohara, ${ }^{9}$ H. Suzuki, ${ }^{10,6}$ E. Takeshita, ${ }^{1, \dagger}$ S. Takeuchi, ${ }^{1}$ Y. Togano, ${ }^{11}$ K. Yamada, ${ }^{1}$ T. Yasuno, ${ }^{6}$ and M. Yoshitake ${ }^{2}$


## Shell reordering: Halo formation

## Mean-field modifications

## surface composed of diffuse

 neutron matterderivative of mean field potential weaker and spin-orbit interaction reduced


Nucleon-nucleon interaction

## $\sigma \sigma \tau \tau$ interaction :

coupling of p-n spin-orbit partners in partly occupied orbits
O: missing $\pi \mathrm{d}_{5 / 2}$ do not bind $\mathrm{vd}_{3 / 2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}=16$ T.Otsuka et al.,PRL87 (2001) 082502 (tensor) PRL95 (2005) 232502

## Repulsive 3N force

T.Otsuka et al., PRL105 (2010) 032501


## Bridging the $A=5,8$ gaps for heavy element creation



- Bypass reactions to triple-a process stellar burning
- ${ }^{8} \mathrm{Be}(n, y)^{9} \mathrm{Be}(a, n)^{12} \mathrm{C} \quad$ e.g. core collapse supernovae
- ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(2 n, \gamma)^{6} \mathrm{He}(\alpha, n)^{9} \mathrm{Be}$


## Continuum spectroscopy

## $\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}(2 \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{y})^{6} \mathrm{He}\right.$ backwards)

Coulomb dissociation:
$E_{x} \leq 10 \mathrm{MeV} \quad 100 \%$ cluster sumrule
$\Sigma B(E 1)=1.2(0.2) \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{fm}^{2}}$

$$
<\mathrm{r}^{2}{ }_{\alpha-n \mathrm{nn}}>^{1 / 2}=3.4(4) \mathrm{fm}
$$

$$
\left\langle r_{c}^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} \text { exp. }=2.016(72) \mathrm{fm}
$$

$$
\left\langle r_{c}^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} \text { exp. }=2.068(11) \mathrm{fm} \text { (laser spectroscopy) }
$$

$$
\text { P. Mueller et al. PRL99 (2007) } 252501
$$

$$
\left\langle r_{c}^{2}\right\rangle^{1 / 2} \text { theo. }=2.059 \mathrm{fm}
$$

B.V. Danilin et al., NPA632 (1998) 383


Elastic proton scattering - inverse kinematics


## ALADIN-LAND Setup <br> (kinematically complete)

- particle identification
- time of flight



## Experimental Setup <br> (less schematic)



## Exotic structure across the dripline:

## P.G. Hansen, Nature 328 (1987) 476



## $\rightarrow$ most exotic systems

$\rightarrow$ nearly unbiased \& clean production !

## Exploring Unbound Lithium isotopes



| $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{fm})$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{MeV})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $-13.7(1.6)$ | $1.47(0.19)$ |

Close to
$\mathrm{S}_{2 \mathrm{n}}{ }^{14} \mathrm{Be}$

$435(25)$

${ }^{11} \mathrm{Li}+n$
C. Hall et al., PRC81 (2010) 021302

## Exploring Unbound Lithium isotopes

$$
{ }^{13} \mathrm{Li}:{ }^{14} \mathrm{Be}+\mathrm{p} \rightarrow{ }^{11} \mathrm{Li}+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}
$$

$\mathrm{d} \sigma / \mathrm{d} \mathrm{E}_{\text {nofs }} \propto \mathrm{E}^{2} /\left(2.21 \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{E}\right)^{7 / 2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{0}=0$

$\rightarrow{ }^{11} \mathrm{Li}+2 \mathrm{n}$ resonance picture
Evidence for existence at $1.47(31) \mathrm{MeV}$.
$\rightarrow$ Angular correlations ...
Y. Aksyutina, H. Johansson et al., PLB666 (2008) 430
H.T. Johansson, Y. Aksyutina, Nucl. Phys. A847 (2010) 66

## Intensities for detailed studies



## Results and current limits ...

- Comprehensive study of exotic unbound systems with extreme A/Z
- Structure information unveiled
unveiled

| 25 F | 26 F | 27 F |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{24} \mathrm{O}$ | ${ }^{25} \mathrm{O}$ | 26 O |

- e.g.
Unbound oxygen isotopes
e.g.
Unbound oxygen isotopes

|  |  |  | ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Li}$ | ${ }^{11} \mathrm{Li}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{Li}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{Li}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{6} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{7} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{8} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{9} \mathrm{He}$ | ${ }^{10} \mathrm{He}$ |  | t time |
| ${ }_{5}^{5} \mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Results and current limits ...

- Comprehensive study of exotic unbound systems with extreme A/Z
- Structure information unveiled

- e.g.

Unbound oxygen isotopes


| ${ }^{25} \mathrm{~F}$ | ${ }^{26} \mathrm{~F}$ | ${ }^{27} \mathrm{~F}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{24} \mathrm{O}$ | ${ }^{25} \mathrm{O}$ | ${ }^{26} \mathrm{O}$ |

## What next ? Target recoil detection!

L.V. Chulkov et al., Nucl. Phys. A759(2005)43


70
80

$$
\theta_{\alpha, p^{\prime}}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)
$$

liquid hydrogen or $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ target \& recoil proton detection
T. Neff et al., Nucl. Phys. A752(2005)321c


Direct observation of kinematical correlations $\rightarrow$
(i) (Cluster) spectroscopic factors (p,2p),(p,pn),(p,px) inv. kinematics
(ii) clean production of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H},{ }^{7} \mathrm{H}, \ldots$ via $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ knockout !

## Quasi-free scattering in inverse kinematics


redundant experimental information:
kinematical reconstruction from proton momenta
plus gamma rays, recoil momentum, invariant mass sensitivity not limited to surface
$\rightarrow$ spectral functions
$\rightarrow$ knockout from deeply bound states
cluster knockout reactions


## New Experiments (Aug/Sep 2010) R3B/FAIR precursor: Setup at Cave C



## Experimental Setup (less schematic)



## QFS with Exotic Nuclei: ${ }^{17} \mathrm{Ne}(\mathrm{p}, 2 \mathrm{p})^{15} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{p}$ The two-proton Halo (?) nucleus ${ }^{17} \mathrm{Ne}$



Internal Momentum
Separation Energy
$q=-p_{A-1}=p_{1}+p_{2}-p_{0}$

Pilot experiments with ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C},{ }^{17} \mathrm{Ne}$ and Ni isotopes already performed at the LAND-R3B setup are under analysis ...

Angular Correlations measured with Si-strip detectors for ${ }^{17} \mathrm{Ne}(p, 2 p){ }^{15} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{p}$
$\Delta \theta \sim 180^{\circ}, \Delta \phi \sim 83^{\circ}$ (sim. as for free pp scattering)



2/90

## The origin of elements

| La | Ce | Pr | Nd | Pm | Sm | Eu | Gd | Tb | Dy | Ho | Er | Tm | Yb |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ac | Th | Pa | U | Np | Pu | Am | Cm | Bk | Cf | Es | Fm | Md | No |


Big bang nucleosythesis Hydrogen Burning CNO Helium Burning Carbon burning Neon burning

Silicon burningEquilibrium reactions/Photodesintegration Spallation in the ISM
$\square$ s-process/p-process in AGB stars
Oxygen burning

Eta Carinae

## Nucleosynthesis ( $\mathrm{A} \geq 56$ )



## Nucleosynthesis in the r-process (rapid neutron capture)

## Nucleosynthesis in the r-process

## JINA

Joint Institute for Nuclear Astrophysics 2002
Movie :H. Schatz, National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory
Calculation : K. Vaughan, J.L. Galache,
and A. Aprahamian, University of Notre Dame
Model : B. Meyer, Clemson University and R. Surman, North Carolina State

## The dipole response of $n$-rich nuclei and the r-process



## Studies of neutron-rich nuclei in the laboratory (survey)

## Pygmy Resonances enhance $(\gamma, \mathrm{n})$ via direct capture ?!



## Can we learn something on neutron matter?



The nuclear equation of state: dependence on n-p asymmetry and density

- symmetry energy and its density dependence close to saturation density
$\rightarrow$ properties of n-rich nuclei?
Dipole vibrations, neutron-skin thickness
- symmetry energy at higher densities $\rightarrow$ reactions with $n$-rich nuclei ( $n$-p flow)


## Dipole-strength distributions in neutron-rich Sn isotopes

P. Ring et al.



- located at 10 MeV
- exhausts a few \% TRK sum rule
- in agreement with theory


## GDR

- no deviation from systematics


## Pygmy dipole strength, Neutron Skin, and the Equation of State of neutron-rich Matter

Relation between dipole strength and n-skin thickness

"...,the pygmy dipole resonance may place important constraints on the neutron skin of heavy nuclei and, as a result, on the equation of state of neutron-rich matter."
J. Piekarewicz, PRC 73 (2006) 044325
n-skin thickness derived from dipole strength


Constraints on EoS of neutron-rich matter derived from dipole strength of $n$-rich Sn isotopes
symmetry energy $\mathrm{a}_{4}=32.0 \pm 1.8 \mathrm{MeV}$
pressure $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{o}}=2.3 \pm 0.8 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{fm}^{3}$

## Additional Information from $\gamma$ spectroscopy



A. Klimkiewicz et al.,

Phys. Rev. C 76 (2007) 051603(R)


## Intermittend Summary: Why do we study nuclear physics ...

- Towards a Consistent Understanding of the Atomic Nucleus

-What are the limits for existence of nuclei?
-Where are the proton and neutron drip lines situated?
-Where does the nuclear chart end?
-How does the nuclear force depend on varying proton-toneutron ratios?
-What is the isospin dependence of the spin-orbit force?
-How does shell structure change far away from stability?
-How to explain collective phenomena from individual motion?
-What are the phases, relevant degrees of freedom, and symmetries of the nuclear many-body system?
-How are complex nuclei built from their basic constituents?
-What is the effective nucleon-nucleon interaction?
-How does QCD constrain its parameters?
-Which are the nuclei relevant for astrophysical processes and what are their properties?
-What is the origin of the heavy elements?


## Preparing for FAIR



Intensity increase 3-4 orders of magnitude !

## Production of radioactive beams: Methods

H. Geissel, G. Münzenberg, K. Riisager, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 45 (1995) 163

ISOL


## ISOL:

- spallation (~1 GeV protons)
- fission: p-induced, fast neutrons (d beam), slow neutrons (reactor), photons (e- beam)
- fusion/evaporation, multi-nucleon transfer


## IN-FLIGHT:

relativistic heavy ions ( $50 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}-1 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$ )

- fragmentation
- fission (elm. or nuclear induced)


## RIBs produced by fragmentation or fission

Projectile Fragmentation


## Sn isotopes


(time of flight through FRS ~300ns)

## Layout and Design parameters for the Super-FRS

## Projectile:

- Elements p - U
- Energy up to $1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$
- Intensity up to $10^{12} / \mathrm{s}$
(depending on element)
- DC or pulsed operation

Design Parameters:
$\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{y}=40 \pi \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{mrad}$
$\Phi_{\mathrm{x}}= \pm 40 \mathrm{mrad}$
$\Phi_{\mathrm{y}}= \pm 20 \mathrm{mrad}$
$\Delta \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{P}= \pm 2.5 \%$
$\mathrm{B} \rho=2-20 \mathrm{Tm}$
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {ion }}=750 / 1500$
(first / second stage)
Spot size on target
$\sigma_{\mathrm{x}}=1.0 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{y}}=2.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

Goal: Larger Acceptance


## Features:

- 2 Separator-stages in achromatic mode
- Separation by $\operatorname{B} \rho-\Delta \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{B} \rho$ method (variable degrader)
- Multi-branch system
- Large acceptance utilizing sc magnets
- Handling concept for high- radiation area


## Comparison of FRS with Super-FRS, intensity gain



Super-FRS

Degrader 2


150 m

|  | $\mathrm{B} \rho_{\max }$ | $\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{p}$ | $\Delta \Phi_{\mathrm{x}}, \Delta \Phi_{\mathrm{y}}$ | resolving <br> power | gain factor |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| ${ }^{19} \mathrm{C}$ | ${ }^{132} \mathrm{Sn}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| FRS | 18 Tm | $1.0 \%$ | $\pm 13, \pm 13 \mathrm{mrad}$ | 1500 | 1 | 1 |
| Super-FRS | 20 Tm | $2.5 \%$ | $\pm 40, \pm 20 \mathrm{mrad}$ | 1500 | 5 | 10 |
|  |  | including <br> primary rate | 250 | 20000 |  |  |

## Separation Performance of the Super-FRS

## 1.1 $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{GeV}^{238} \mathrm{U}$ on $4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{C}$ target, two Al degraders $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{R}=0.3, \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{R}=0.7$



## Features of two degrader stages

- Introduction of another separation cut in the A-Z plane
- Reduction of contaminants from fragments produced in the degrader
- Optimization of the fragment rate on detectors in the Main-Separator
- Possible usage of Pre- and Main-Separator for secondary reaction studies


## Production of radioactive beams by fragmentation and fission



## Detector Instrumentation of the SuperFRS



## $\mathrm{B} \rho-\Delta \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{TOF}$ method: Requirements

## NO CHARGE STATES!

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{B} \rho=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{Z} \cdot \beta \cdot \gamma & \Rightarrow \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{Z}, \mathrm{P} \\
\mathrm{TOF}=\mathrm{L} / \beta & \leadsto \\
\Delta \mathrm{E} \sim \mathrm{Z}^{2} / \beta^{2} & \Rightarrow \mathrm{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Position: Wirechambers (single event readout)/Diamond
- $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ :
- TOF:

Plastic/Diamond

## Fast sampling \& timing techniques

> Challenge:
$>$ Beam identification at rates up to 1 MHz .
$>$ ToF over km distance with sub-ns resolution.
$>\Delta \mathrm{E}$ resolution 2-3\%
> Solution:
$>$ Fast sampling and FPGA based digital signal processing \& pulse shape analysis.
$>$ Campus wide Time Distribution System based on FAIR BuTiS timing system.
$>$ TAC or DLL based Frontends.
> First studies using Tacquila@R³B/Cave-C.
$>$ Digital Signal Processing (for PSP, MUSIC) in collaboration with KVI Groningen/JSI Lubljana


## FRS \& RISING

## production



## Coulomb excitation of a primary beam $-{ }^{84} \mathrm{Kr}$

${ }^{84} \mathrm{Kr}(113 \mathrm{AMeV})+\mathrm{Au}\left(0.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$



- Particle identification before and after the target
- Forward scattering angle selection
- Fixed $\beta=0.4$ value
- Event by event Doppler correction



## New Shell Structure: Cr isotopes



- B(E2) values for ${ }^{56,58} \mathrm{Cr}$ (lifetime/x-sec/energy)

$$
B\left(\mathrm{E} 2,{ }^{A} \mathrm{Cr}\right)=\frac{I_{\gamma}\left({ }^{A} \mathrm{Cr}\right) / N_{\mathrm{pro}}\left({ }^{A} \mathrm{Cr}\right)}{I_{\gamma}\left({ }^{54} \mathrm{Cr}\right) / N_{\mathrm{pro}}\left({ }^{54} \mathrm{Cr}\right)} B\left(\mathrm{E} 2,{ }^{54} \mathrm{Cr}\right)
$$

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{E}_{\gamma} \\ {[\mathrm{keV}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ions }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{I}_{\gamma} \\ & \text { eff.cor. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} B(E 2) \\ {[W u]} \end{gathered}$ | B(E2) [Wu] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{54} \mathrm{Cr}$ | 835 | $3.0 \cdot 10^{7}$ | 21300 | Normalisation | 14.6(6) |
| ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Cr}$ | 1006 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{7}$ | 6500 | 8.7 (3.0) | --- |
| ${ }^{58} \mathrm{Cr}$ | 880 | $1.0 \cdot 10^{7}$ | 7800 | 14.8 (4.2) | --- |

A. Bürger et al., Phys. Lett B622, 29 (2005)

## RISING $\rightarrow$ PRESPEC $\rightarrow$ HISPEC/DESPEC



## NUSTAR Experiments <br> (NUclear STructure Astrophysics and Reactions)

## Exotic Nuclei

- Spectroscopy
- Reactions
- Mass/gs. prop.




PreSeparator

Degrader 1
Production Target


50 m

## NUSTAR Experiments (Start version) (NUclear STructure Astrophysics and Reactions)

## Exotic Nuclei

- Spectroscopy
- Reactions
- Mass/gs. prop.
Projectile:
- Elements $p-U$
- Energy up to $1.5 \mathrm{GeV} / \mathrm{u}$
- Pulsed and CW beams
- Intensity $10^{12}-10^{13} / \mathrm{s}$
(depending on element)
Acceptanze
$\varepsilon_{x}=\varepsilon_{y}=40 \pi \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{mrad}$
$\Phi_{x}= \pm 40 \mathrm{mrad}$
$\Phi_{y}= \pm 20 \mathrm{mrad}$
$\triangle P / P= \pm 2.5 \%$


Internal target


Pre-

## Mass: Fundamental Property of Nuclei

Binding energies
Mass models
Shell structure
Correlations pairing

Reaction phase space Q-values
Reaction probabilities
The reach of nuclei Drip lines
> Nuclear astrophysics Paths of nucleosynthesis

Fundamental symmetries Metrology

## Y. Litvinov



## ILIMA: Set-Up

Isochronous Mass Spectrometry in the CR

$$
\gamma \rightarrow \gamma_{t}
$$

Schottky Mass Spectrometry in the CR \& NESR

$$
\frac{\Delta v}{v} \rightarrow 0
$$

## SMS and IMS

SOHOTKY MASS SPECTROMEIRY


## Schottky Pick-Up in the ESR



SMS: Broad Band Frequency Spectra



## ILIMA: Masses and Halflives



## NUSTAR Experiments <br> (NUclear STructure Astrophysics and Reactions)

## Exotic Nuclei

- Spectroscopy
- Reactions
- Mass/gs. prop.
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## Reactions with Relativistic Radioactive Beams (2017/18)



## Reactions with Relativistic Radioactive Beams (full)



Kinematically complete measurement of reactions with high-energy secondary beams
Nuclear Astrophysics
Structure of exotic nuclei
Neutron-rich matter

## R3B Si Recoil Tracker



Tasks:

- Simulations of target-recoil detector
- elastic, inelastic, quasifree ...
- Si-microstrip prototype testing
- micro-strip, MAPS ...
- Si tracker mechanical design
project started 1 April 2009 - Installation at R3B in 2013

- Mechanical integration of target-recoil detector sub-systems
- with LH2 target and calorimeter
- FEE and DAQ
- 100k channels, new ASIC design (low thresholds, self-triggering)
- Si-tracker construction, assembly and installation
- Liverpool Semiconductor Centre (ATLAS, LHCb, etc)
- Si-ladder assembly testing


## CALIFA CsI/phoswitch calorimeter

General design of the detector based on kinematical considerations

"Egg" shape Highly segmented Thick detection volume Scintillation based performant photo-sensors

Crystal and photosensors

$$
\text { Barrel } \rightarrow \mathrm{CsI}+\mathrm{APD}
$$


$1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and $662 \mathrm{keV} \gamma$



## CALIFA forward endcap

## Phoswich solution is being investigated



Engineering design and Mechanical structure $\rightarrow$ based on carbon fiber


## Neutron detector NeuLAND

| $\sigma_{t}$ | $<100 \mathrm{ps}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}}$ | $\approx 1 \mathrm{~cm}$ |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{E}^{*}}$ | 20 keV |
| size | $2 \times 2 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ |
| area | $\sim 140 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| \# ch. | $\sim 10.000$ |
| weight | $\sim 15 \mathrm{t}$ |

detection principle based on
Resistive Plate Chambers plus iron converters


## status:

$\checkmark$ proof of principle: RPC excellent for slow protons
$\checkmark$ prototypes with included converter as electrodes: efficiency of $99 \%$, time resolution $\sim 50 \mathrm{ps}$


## NeuLAND detector based on scintillators

Simulation of alternative concept:
Studies with different bar size:

- bars of $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~cm}$ (1600 bars and 3200 PMs)
- bars of $3 \times 3 \mathrm{~cm}$
(4500 bars and 9000 PMs)





## NUSTAR Experiments <br> (NUclear STructure Astrophysics and Reactions)

## Exotic Nuclei

- Spectroscopy
- Reactions
- Mass/gs. prop.
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## EXL: EXotic Nuclei Studied in Light-Ion Induced Reactions at the NESR Storage Ring



## Realization of an RIB electron collider setup The ELISe experiment



- 125-500 MeV electrons
- 200-740 MeV/u RIBs
$\rightarrow$ up to 1.5 GeV CM energy
- spectrometer setup at the interaction zone \& detector system in ring arcs
- Part of the core facility
http://www.gsi.de/fair/reports/btr.html
AIC option:
- 30 MeV antiprotons
- detector system in ring arcs
- schottky probes


## Why should one try to collide beams? <br> - trying to get through the eye of the needle



- Target and scattered off particles can be detected
$\rightarrow$ excitation and de-excitation process is studied
- 'no target absorption'
$\rightarrow$ unhampered detection
- kinematical focusing
$\rightarrow$ solid angle
$\rightarrow$ Mott cross section enhanced (small angles)
- luminosity for unstable nuclei from a chemically non selective fragmentation facility
$\rightarrow 100 \mu \mathrm{~m} \times 100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ interaction area


## Summary: there is no smoking gun ...



## FIN



Thanks to: T. Aumann, M. Gorska, Yu. Litvinov, ...

## Final Remarks

- FAIR offers unique opportunities
- The process of building has now been started in a first reduced version offering already a viable program for all four communities

APPA CBM panda NUSTAR

- Stay tuned! New website: http://www.fair-center.eu/



## A state-of-the-art accelerator complex in Europe

