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## The Technical Concept for FAIR



## R3B \& EXL Univ of Compostella \& IPN Orsay

## Similar Calorimeters as GASPA



## R3B: Reactions with Relativistic Radioactive Beams



New calorimeter based on CsI(Tl) crystals
( $1 \times 2 \times 13-20 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 5025$ units) and APD:
$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\gamma} \sim 80$ \% @ 15 MeV
$\Delta \Theta \sim 0.018$ rad
$\mathrm{E}_{\gamma}=1-30 \mathrm{MeV}, \Delta \mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E} \sim 4-5 \%$ @ 662 keV
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}<\mathbf{3 0 0 \mathrm { MeV } , \Delta \mathrm { E } / \mathrm { E } \sim \mathbf { 1 \% } \text { or better }}$
USC (Spain), LU (Sweden) JINR and Kurchatov Inst.

## CALIFA design v1.2

## First design iteration (CALIFA 1.2):

- 6570 crystals in 73 different crystal types
- Covering polar angles between $7^{\circ}$ and $133^{\circ}$
- Trapezium-like shaped crystals
- Simple geometry filling the gaps
- Typical crystal volume: $10 \times 20 x(130-200) \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
- Weight: $\sim 1600 \mathrm{Kg}$; volume: $\sim 360 \mathrm{dm}^{3}$



## As we were open to criticism, criticism

## came soon...

- Azimuthal gaps between crystals
- Too many different shapes of crystals
- Too many channels/crystals...
- Too heavy, ...who is going to hold it during the experiment? Students required
- Too small crystal section (also affects to the capability to cover the shower in a crystals of few crystals)
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...so, a second iteration in the design
$\rightarrow$ Irregular crystal shape
$\rightarrow$ From 73 types in CALIFA 1.2 we moved
to $\mathbf{1 0}$ types in CALIFA 2.0
$\rightarrow$ Reduction from 6570 to less than $\mathbf{4 5 0 0}$ crystals
$\rightarrow$ Ongoing discussion on support systems and crystal wrapping (carbon fibre alveolus?)
$\rightarrow$ Slightly larger crystal section, slightly farther away from the target ( but approx. the same polar angle resolution)


CALIFA 2.0

- 4500 crystals
- 10 different types
- No azimuthal gaps
- Unsolved problems on forward region
- Collaboration from IPN Orsay and USC
- Less (wider) crystals, better gamma eficiency (no holes)
- Nice solution for BARREL, not so good for EndCap: many different crystals types, still not optimized
- Radius too large (minimum ~ 45 cm )



## Details of the EXL setup



## Design goals:

- Universality: applicable to a wide class of reactions
- Good energy and angular resolution
- Large solid angle acceptance
- Target recoils and gammas (p,a,n,ү)
- Forward ejectiles (p,n)
- Beam-like heavy ions
- Specially dedicated for low q measurements with high luminosity $\left(>10^{28} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$



## EXL: EXotic Nuclei Studied in Light-Ion Induced Reaction at the NESR



PTI (St.Petersburg) - silicon detectors VNIIEF (Sarov) - mechanical support \& temperature stabilization system JINR and Kurchatov Institute - CsI shell JINR - in-ring instrumentation

Completely new setup: Si shell ( $\sim 700$ items) CsI shell ( $\sim 2000$ items)


## The EXL Recoil and Gamma Array



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Si DSSD } \quad \Rightarrow \Delta \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \\
& 300 \mu \mathrm{~m} \text { thick, spatial resolution } \\
& \text { better than } 500 \mu \mathrm{~m} \text { in } \mathrm{x} \text { and } \mathrm{y}, \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=30 \mathrm{keV}(\mathrm{FWHM})
\end{aligned}
$$

Thin Si DSSD $\quad \Rightarrow$ tracking $<100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick, spatial resolution better than $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ in x and y , $\Delta \mathrm{E}=30 \mathrm{keV}$ (FWHM)

$$
\mathbf{S i}(\mathbf{L i}) \quad \Rightarrow \mathbf{E}
$$

9 mm thick, large area $100 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$, $\Delta \mathrm{E}=50 \mathrm{keV}$ (FWHM)

## CsI crystals $\quad \Rightarrow$ E, $\gamma$

High efficiency, high resolution, 20 cm thick

Crystal features (v5.0):

- Only five different crystal types in Barrel
${ }^{-}$Three different crystal size combinations (short, medium, Long). Medium proposed for prototype
- Variable length with polar angle, according to the Lorentz boost results
${ }^{\sim}$ Terminated to $\sim 20 \times 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ to connect with Hamamatsu APD S8664-2010 (*)
" A "triedrum" corner facilitates mechanical production and measurements of the crystal
- Inner calorimeter radius 300 mm (minimum)
- Technical drawings available for production
(*) Not on catalogue, production depends on a research contract with Hamamatsu company


NuSTAR CalWG Meeting - Lund, 18 June. 2007

## Detectors in R3BSim: CALIFA geometry



Crystal length selection (v4.0b):

- Three calorimeters with different crystal size combinations have been simulated (short, medium, LoNg)
${ }^{\wedge}$ For each calorimeter, lengths are selected to cover approx. the same photopeak efficiency @ 5MeV CoM
- Overlap problems, corrected in simulation (temporal solution)

| ee different models: Short, Medium and Large |  |  | Energy 700 AmeV |  |  | Chosen for approx. 60\%, 70\% and 80\% PE @ 5MeV CoM |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 55 | 65 | 90 | 120 | Angle (deg) |
| 2.97 | 2.75 | 2.49 | 2.23 | 1.97 | 1.74 | 1.36 | 1.08 | 0.88 | 0.57 | 0.41 | E_lab/E_CM |
| 14.85 | 13.75 | 12.45 | 11.15 | 9.85 | 8.7 | 6.8 | 5.4 | 4.4 | 2.85 | 2.05 | E-lab for 5 MeV CM |
| 1.32 | 1.25 | 1.2 | 1.14 | 1.1 | 1.05 | 1.01 | 1 | 0.99 | 0.95 | 0.8 | Approx. Multiplicative factor |
| 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 9 | Crystal length (cm) |
| lium 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 11 |  |
| 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 14 |  |
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## Where are our place?

JINR FLNR experience:
First successful steps start in 2004 Complete production of CsI(Tl) detectors from cutting solid cristal to final preparation of surfaces, wraping and coupling.


## nustr

Cluster of CsI(Tl) detectors from MAYA(Ganil) + Si \& FEE MUST2 on a experiments at Spiral

$600 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ active area
All Front-End Electronics in Vacuum
1400 channels (Time \& Energy)

## Comparing tipical Crystals



## What`s wrong in long cristal?

- Big length refer to cross section make the great difference in light collection from different interaction positions
-Loses of light in absortion and reflections
- Differnce of concentration of activator Tl

Summary:
-Total low lightoutput collection
-Great uniformity on lenght

## Activity in R'n'D

WGs uses typical path:
Buy the CsI(Tl) with shape close to nessesery Wraping or not
with optimized surface or not

> Subjects of investigation: Wraping and coupling compabality with fotodetectors

General quest:
Optimization of uniformity - best energy resolution

## Demonstrator calorimeter R3B-EXL @ Orsay



## Prototype parts



CsI crystal from Amcrys


Double PMTs from Photonis

Csi cryst from Ancrys

CREMAT preamps and bases

Scarpaci et al., Orsay


Energy Resolutions CsI(TI) + VM2000+APD/PMT+ ${ }^{137} \mathrm{Cs}$



## Uniformity measurment



## Our posibilities:

-Reflector materials: Maylar, PTFE, Tyvec, ESR

## Vikuity

-Photodetectors: PIN-diodes from $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ to 20x20mm ${ }^{2}$ (Hamamatsu and Moscow),
Hamamatsu APD \& LAAPD, PMTs - CsI(TI) Cristals different shapes from $10 \times 10 \times 15 \mathrm{~mm} 3$ to $50 \times 20 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
-Different optical coupling materials: Epo-tex, Bicron, RTV
-Technology of surface mating and polishing

## What can we do new?

General key: Integrated investigation of -surface preparation -wraping materials and tehnolodgy - «botle neck» shape
-coupling and choosing photodetectors

## according economics <br> and

technolodgical aspects.

## Summary:

Results of proposal investigation could be useful in TDR and mass production of CsI(TI) detectors for calorimeters R3B and EXL

Minimal posible profit - specify and taxonomy results of different WGs

Thank for your atention!

## Study of the non-uniformity

Energy resolution dependence on the first interaction point




Non-uniformity ~ 8.4 \%, to compare with < 3\% measured by St. Gobain

Light collection uniformity CMS CAL
P. Sempere PhD Thesis

## ACCULINNA Fragment Separator

Max magnetic rigidity Solid angle H/V acceptance angle
3.6 Tm Momentum acceptance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20 / 14 \mathrm{mrad} \\
& 4.2-8.4 \%
\end{aligned}
$$



Protons: $E_{p} \sim 150 \mathrm{MeV}$

Tritons:


Monoenergetic triton beam, $D_{\mathrm{Bp}}=15.2 \mathrm{~mm} / \%$


