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## Introduction



H-like Uranium
$E_{k}=-132 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{eV}$ $\langle E\rangle=1.8 \cdot 10^{16} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$

Hydrogen $E_{k}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV}$ $\langle E\rangle=1 \cdot 10^{10} \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{cm}$

## Introduction

Point nucleus: $\quad E_{1 \mathrm{~s}}=m c^{2} \sqrt{1-(\alpha Z)^{2}}$.
Existence of point charge with value more then $\alpha Z>1$ is forbidden [Gätner et al., 1981].


The $1 s$ level dives into the negative-energy continuum at $Z_{\text {crit }} \sim 173$ [S.S. Gershtein, Ya.B. Zeldovich, 1969; W. Pieper, W. Greiner, 1969]

## Introduction: super-heavy quasi-molecules

Super-critical field could be achieved in collision of two heavy ions
with $Z_{1}+Z_{2}>173$.



## Two center Dirac equation

Features of the investigated process:

- Low-energy ions: ~ $6 \mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u}$ for $\mathrm{U}^{91+}-\mathrm{U}^{92+}$
- Relativistic electron: $v_{e} \sim(a Z) c$
- $m_{e} « M_{\text {nucl }} \rightarrow$ Nuclei $\left(\boldsymbol{R}_{A}, \boldsymbol{R}_{B}\right)$ move according to the Rutherford trajectory

The time-dependent and stationary (for fixed $R_{A B}$ ) Dirac equations (in a.u.):

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{D} \Psi(\vec{r}, t) & =i \frac{d \Psi}{d t}, \quad h^{D} \Psi_{n}(\vec{r})=\varepsilon_{n} \Psi_{n}(\vec{r}), \\
h^{D} & =c(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{p})+\beta m c^{2}+V_{A B}(\vec{r}),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\vec{\alpha}, \beta$ are the Dirac matrices, and $V_{A B}(\vec{r})=V_{\text {nucl }}^{(A)}\left(\vec{r}_{A}\right)+V_{\text {nucl }}^{(B)}\left(\vec{r}_{B}\right)$,

$$
\vec{r}_{A}=\vec{r}-\vec{R}_{A}, \quad \vec{r}_{B}=\vec{r}-\vec{R}_{B} .
$$

## Finite Basis Expansion

$$
\Psi(\vec{r})=\sum_{i} c_{i} \varphi_{i}(\vec{r}), \quad \Psi(\vec{r}, t)=\sum_{i} C_{i}(t) \varphi_{i}(\vec{r}) .
$$

Stationary case:

$$
\sum_{j} S_{i j} c_{j}=\sum_{j} H_{i j} c_{j}
$$

Time-dependent case:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i \sum_{j} S_{i j} \frac{d C_{j}(t)}{d t}=\sum_{j}\left(H_{i j}-T_{i j}\right) C_{j}(t) \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow-\infty} \boldsymbol{C}(t)=\boldsymbol{C}^{0}
\end{array},\right.
$$

where $H_{i j}=\left\langle\varphi_{i}\right| h_{D}\left|\varphi_{j}\right\rangle, \quad T_{i j}=i\left\langle\varphi_{i}\right| \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left|\varphi_{j}\right\rangle, \quad S_{i j}=\left\langle\varphi_{i} \mid \varphi_{j}\right\rangle$.

$$
\Psi(\vec{r}, t)=\sum_{\alpha=A, B} \sum_{\mu} C_{\alpha, \mu}(t) \varphi_{\alpha, \mu}\left(\vec{r}-\vec{R}_{\alpha}(t)\right)
$$

$\varphi_{\alpha, \mu}$ - the Dirac and Dirac-Sturm orbitals, localized on each ion.

## Central field Dirac orbitals

Center field Dirac bispinors:

$$
\varphi_{n k m}(\vec{r}, \sigma)=\binom{\frac{P_{n k}(r)}{r} \chi_{k m}(\Omega, \sigma)}{i \frac{Q_{n k}(r)}{r} \chi_{-k m}(\Omega, \sigma)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k=(-1)^{l+j+1 / 2}(j+1 / 2) \\
& j=|k|-1 / 2, \quad l=j+\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{|k|}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P_{n k}$ and $Q_{n k}$ are the large and small components, respectively.

The large and small radial components are obtained by solving numerically the Dirac equation in the center field potential $V(r)$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c\left(-\frac{d}{d r}+\frac{k}{r}\right) Q_{n k}(r)+\left(V(r)+c^{2}\right) P_{n k}(r)=\varepsilon_{n k} P_{n k}(r) \\
c\left(\frac{d}{d r}+\frac{k}{r}\right) P_{n k}(r)+\left(V(r)-c^{2}\right) Q_{n k}(r)=\varepsilon_{n k} Q_{n k}(r)
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Central field Dirac-Sturm orbitals

## Dirac orbitals

- The set of the Dirac wave functions of the discrete spectrum without the continuum spectrum does not form a complete basis set
- The contribution of the continuum spectrum may be more than $50 \%$
- The radius of the Dirac orbitals rapidly increases with increasing the principal quantum number $n$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Dirac-Sturm orbitals } \\
h^{S}=h^{D}-\varepsilon_{0,} \quad h^{S} \varphi_{j}=\lambda_{j} W(r) \varphi_{j}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
c\left(-\frac{d}{d r}+\frac{k}{r}\right) \overline{Q_{n k}}(r)+\left(V(r)+c^{2}-\varepsilon_{n_{0} k}\right) \overline{P_{n k}}(r)=\lambda_{n k} W(r) \overline{P_{n k}}(r) \\
c\left(\frac{d}{d r}+\frac{k}{r}\right) \overline{P_{n k}}(r)+\left(V(r)-c^{2}-\varepsilon_{n_{0} k}\right) \overline{Q_{n k}}(r)=\lambda_{n k} W(r) \overline{Q_{n k}}(r)
\end{array}\right. \\
W(r)>0, \quad W(r) \rightarrow 0 \text { when } r \rightarrow \infty ; \quad W(r)=\left[\frac{1-\exp \left(-(\alpha r)^{2}\right)}{(\alpha r)^{2}}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

## Central field Dirac-Sturm orbitals

- The Dirac-Sturm operator does not have continuum spectrum
- The set of the Dirac-Sturm orbitals forms a complete basis set
- The Dirac-Sturm orbitals have the correct asymptotic behavior for $r \rightarrow 0$ and for $r \rightarrow \infty$
- All Dirac-Sturm orbitals have approximately the same size, which does not depend on the principal quantum number $n$



## Monopole approximation

Monopole approximation enables partly accounting for the potential of the second ion in constructing the basis functions. For example, the potential of the center $A$ is given by

$$
V^{(A)}(r)=V_{\text {nucl }}^{(A)}(r)+V_{\text {mon }}^{(B)}(r),
$$

where (for the point nucleus case)

$$
V_{\text {mon }}^{(B)}(r)=-\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int d \Omega \frac{Z_{B}}{\left|\vec{r}-\vec{R}_{A B}\right|}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\frac{Z_{B}}{r} & r \geq R_{A B} \\
-\frac{Z_{B}}{R_{A B}} & r<R_{A B}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Basis set

Basis of DO and DSO, localized on each ion:

- Provides the natural satisfaction of the initial conditions
- Is perfect for describing the quasi-molecular states at small inter-nuclear distance. This is especially important for investigation of the diving effect
- Allows one to evaluate the ionization processes
- Posseses fast basis convergence, that significantly reduces the size of matrix problem and calculation time

Basis 1: Positive: $1 \mathrm{~s}-3 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{p}, 3 \mathrm{p}, 3 \mathrm{~d}, \overline{4 s}-\overline{6 s}, \overline{4 \mathrm{p}}-\overline{6 p}, \overline{4 \mathrm{~d}}-\overline{6 \mathrm{~d}}, \overline{4 \mathrm{f}}-\overline{6 \mathrm{f}}$ : Negative: $-\overline{1 s}-(-\overline{6 s}),-\overline{2 p}-(-\overline{6 p}) \ldots$ :
Basis 2: Positive: $1 \mathrm{~s}, \overline{2 s}-\overline{8 s}, \overline{2 p}-\overline{8 p}, \overline{3 d}-\overline{8 d}, \overline{4 f}-\overline{6 f}, \overline{5 g}-\overline{6 g}$ : Negative: $-\overline{1 s}-(-\overline{8 s}), \quad-\overline{2 p}-(-8 \bar{p}) . .$. :

220 functions
220 functions
392 functions
392 functions

## Energies of the $1 \sigma_{+}$ground state of quasimolecules

The "chemical" inter-nuclear distance $R_{A B}=2.0 / Z$ (a.u.). Calculations are performed for the Point Nuclear case.

| $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{Z}=1)$ |  | $\mathrm{Th}_{2}{ }^{179+}(\mathrm{Z}=90)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Energy | Relative Error | Energy | Relative Error |
| Basis 1 | -1.1026248 | $1.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | -9504.573 | $1.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| Basis 2 | -1.1026405 | $1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | -9504.732 | $2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$ |
| "Exact" | $-1.1026416^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $-9504.756^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |

${ }^{a}$ [L. Yang, D. Heinemann, D. Kolb, Chem. Phys. Lett., 178, 213 (1991)]; ${ }^{b}$ [O. Kullie, D. Kolb, Eur. Phys. J. D, 17, 167 (2001)]

## Energies of the $1 \sigma_{+}$ground state of quasimolecules

The 1 $\sigma+$ state energy of the $\mathrm{U}_{2}{ }^{183+}$ quasimolecule as a function of the internuclear distance $R$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{\text {crit }}(1 \mathrm{~s})=25.5 \mathrm{fm} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\text {crit }}\left(1 \sigma_{+}\right)=34.7 \mathrm{fm} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\text {nucl }}=5.9 \mathrm{fm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Energies E (in relativistic units) $\mathrm{U}^{91+}-\mathrm{U}^{92+}$



## Critical Distances

## Critical Distances $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (fm)

|  | Point nucleus |  | Extended nucleus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $Z$ | This work | Others | This work | Others |
| 88 | 24.27 | $24.24^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 19.91 | $19.4^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 90 | 30.96 | $30.96^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 27.06 | $26.5^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 92 | 38.43 | $38.4^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 34.74 | $34.7^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
|  |  | $38.42^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $34.3^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
|  |  | $36.8^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  | $34.7^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| 94 | 46.58 | $46.57^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 43.13 | $42.6^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 96 | 55.38 | $55.37^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 52.10 |  |
| 98 | 64.79 | $64.79^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 61.61 | $61.0^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $61.1^{\mathrm{f}}$ |

${ }^{a}$ [V. Lisin et al., Phys. Lett. 69B, 2 (1977)]; ['A. Artemyev et al., J. Phys. B 43, 235207 (2010)]
 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ [B. Müller and W. Greiner, Z. Naturforsch. 3la, 1 (1975)]

## Charge-transfer and Ionization Probabilities

The probabilities of the charge transfer $W_{n k}^{(c t)}$ and direct excitations $W_{n k}^{(d)}$ from the 1s state of nuclear A to the state $\mathrm{nk}^{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n k}^{(d)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t \rightarrow}\left|\left\langle\Psi(\vec{r}, t) \mid \varphi_{n k \mu}\left(\vec{r}_{A}, t\right)\right\rangle\right|^{2} \\
& W_{n k}^{(t t)}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\mu}\left|\left\langle\Psi(\vec{r}, t) \mid \varphi_{n k \mu}\left(\vec{r}_{B}, t\right)\right\rangle\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total direct excitation $P_{d}$, charge-transfer $P_{c t}$ and ionization $P_{i o n}$ probabilities are defined by

$$
P_{d}=\sum_{n k \neq 1 \mathrm{~s}} W_{n k}^{(d)}, \quad P_{c t}=\sum_{n k} W_{n k}^{(c t)}, \quad P_{i o n}=1-P_{d}-P_{c t}-W_{15}^{(d)} .
$$

The cross sections for the charge-transfer and ionization processes are then calculated by integrating the probabilities over the impact parameter $b$

$$
\sigma_{c t}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d b b P_{c t}(b), \quad \sigma_{i o n}=2 \pi \int_{0}^{\infty} d b b P_{i o n}(b) .
$$

## $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{H}^{+}$



Charge-transfer probability as a function of the impact parameter $b$. Comparison with the results of work [Fritsch et al., PR, 202, 1 (1991)].

## $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{H}^{+}$

Charge-transfer and Ionization cross sections as functions of the collision energy

Charge-transfer


Ionization


Other calculations [G. Winter, PRA 80, 032701 (2009)]
Experimental data [R. Janev et al., At. and PI. Mat. Int. Data for Fusion, Nucl. Fusion Suppl 4 (1993); M. Shah et al., JPB 31, L757 (1998); 20, 2481 (1987)]

## Scaling Transformation

Z Scaling transformation takes place in the case of nonrelativistic straightline collision of symmetric systems. For the $A^{(Z-1)+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-A^{Z+}$ and $H(1 s)-H^{+}$ collisions could be established links between the charge transfer parameters.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overrightarrow{r^{\prime}} & =Z \vec{r} \\
\overrightarrow{R^{\prime}} A & =Z \vec{R}_{A} \\
\overrightarrow{R_{B}^{\prime}} & =Z \vec{R}_{B} \\
t^{\prime} & =Z^{2} t \\
E^{\prime} & =E / Z^{2} \\
{\overrightarrow{V^{\prime}}}_{A} & =\vec{V}_{A} / Z \\
{\overrightarrow{V^{\prime}}}_{B} & =\vec{V}_{B} / Z \\
\sigma^{\prime} & =\sigma Z^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $V_{a}$ is the velocity of the ion $a=A, B$, $E$ is the collision energy.
Values with and without a prime symbol (' )
correspond to the $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{H}^{+}$and
$A^{(Z-1)+}(1 s)-A^{Z+}$ collisions, respectively.

## $\mathrm{Ne}^{9+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{Ne}^{10+}$

Charge-transfer cross section $\sigma_{c t}\left(10^{-17} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ for the $\mathrm{Ne} \mathrm{e}^{9+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{Ne}^{10+}$ collision

|  | $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Ne}^{9+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{Ne}^{10+}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E / Z^{2}$ <br> $(\mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{u})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> $R e l$. | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> $\mathrm{Nonrel}$. | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> Born Appr. |
| 1.0 | 172.4 | 171.6 | 172.2 | 188.4 |
| 2.0 | 144.9 | 144.3 | 144.8 | 150.7 |
| 4.0 | 117.5 | 117.1 | 117.5 | 114.8 |
| 5.0 | 107.8 | 107.3 | 107.8 | 107.3 |
| 10.0 | 81.3 | 80.8 | 81.3 | 76.2 |
| 15 | 63.5 | 63.0 | 63.5 | 57.6 |
| 20 | 48.9 | 48.5 | 48.9 | 48.2 |
| 30 | 26.6 | 26.4 | 26.6 | 30.1 |
| 50.0 | 9.1 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 13.7 |
| 70.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 5.4 |
| 100.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 |

## $X e^{53+}(1 s)-X e^{54+}$

Charge-transfer cross section $\sigma_{c t}\left(10^{-17} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ for the $X e^{53+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-X e^{54+}$ collision

|  | $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{Xe}^{53+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{Xe}^{54+}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E / Z^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}}$ | $E$ <br> $(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> Rel. | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ |
| $(\mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{u})$ |  | 3.6 | 148.3 | 163.3 |
| 1.23457 | 165.0 | 5.9 | 129.4 | 143.0 |
| 2.02332 | 144.9 | 10.0 | 109.1 | 123.8 |
| 3.42936 | 124.8 | 100.0 | 13.3 | 20.6 |
| 34.2936 | 20.7 |  |  |  |

## $U^{91+}(1 s)-U^{92+}$

Charge-transfer cross section $\sigma_{c t}\left(10^{-17} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}\right)$ for the $\mathrm{U}^{91+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{U}^{92+}$ collision

| $\mathrm{U}^{91+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{U}^{92+}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Energy <br> $E(\mathrm{MeV} / \mathrm{u})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> Rel. | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> Nonrel. | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}} \cdot Z^{2}$ <br> Nonrel. staight-line | Energy <br> $E(\mathrm{keV} / \mathrm{u})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{ct}}$ |  |
| 6.0 | 135.3 | 184.2 | 185.0 | 0.709 | 186.4 |  |
| 6.5 | 132.7 | 181.3 | 182.0 | 0.768 | 183.1 |  |
| 7.0 | 130.3 | 178.2 | 179.1 | 0.827 | 180.1 |  |
| 10.0 | 117.1 | 165.8 | 166.7 | 1.181 | 167.6 |  |

## $U^{91+}(1 s)-U^{92+}$



Charge-transfer probability as a function of the impact parameter $b$

## $U^{91+}(1 s)-U^{92+}$



Charge-transfer probability as a function of the impact parameter $b$

## $\mathrm{U}^{91+}(1 \mathrm{~s})-\mathrm{U}^{02+}$ : Diving Investigation

$$
\Delta H=\left|\Psi_{1 \sigma_{+}}\right\rangle\left(-m c^{2}-E_{1 \sigma_{+}}\right)\left\langle\Psi_{1 \sigma_{+}}\right|
$$



Charge-transfer probability as a function of the impact parameter $b$

## Summary and Outlook

## Summary

- A new method employing the Dirac-Sturm basis functions for evaluation of various electron-excitation processes in low-energy heavy-ion collisions has been developed
- Systematic calculations of the charge transfer for low-energy collisions of H-like ions with bare nuclei have been carried out
- The "diving" of the ground state of the quasi-molecular $U^{91+}-U^{92+}$ system into the negative-energy Dirac continuum is studied


## Outlook

- Extending our method to collisions involving many-electron ions and neutral atoms
- Studing in more details the diving effect
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## Introduction



## Basis set properties

- Spectrum of the Dirac-Sturm operator is discrete and complete (including functions of the negative Dirac spectrum)
- Relativistic DSO satisfy the dual kinetic balance condition [V. Shabaev et al, PRL
- ОДШ позволяют легко строить функции отрицательного спектра
- DSO have correct asymptotic behavior when $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$
- All DSO have approximately the same space scale, which does not depend on the principal quantum number $n$
- Monopole approximation enables partly accounting for the potential of the second ion in constructing of the basis functions

Basis of DO and DSO, localized on each ion:

- Provides the natural satisfaction of the initial conditions
- Is perfect for describing the quasi-molecular states at small inter-nuclear distance. This is especially important for investigation of the diving effect
- Allows one to evaluate the ionization processes
- Posseses fast basis convergence, that significantly reduces the size of matrix pro


## Rutherford Scattering

The trajectories $R_{A}(t)$ and $R_{B}(t)$ of the motion of the target $(A)$ and the projectile ( $B$ ) can be obtained as explicit solution of the classical motion equation in the interval $\dagger \in(-\infty, \infty)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{A B}=a(\varepsilon \cosh \xi+1) \\
& t=\frac{a}{V_{\infty}}(\varepsilon \sinh \xi+\xi), \quad \text { where } \xi \in(-\infty, \infty) . \\
& R_{A B}=\left|\vec{R}_{A}-\vec{R}_{B}\right|, \quad a=\frac{Z_{T} Z_{P} e^{2}}{M_{r} V_{\infty}^{2}}, \quad \varepsilon=\left(1+\frac{b^{2}}{a^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$


$b$ is the impact parameter, $M_{r}$ is the reduced mass, $V_{\infty}$ is the initial velocity of the projectile.


Assuming that $Z_{T}$ or $Z_{p}$ equal to zero, we obtain a straight line trajectory for the projectile.

## Time-depending Dirac equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i S \frac{d \boldsymbol{C}(t)}{d t}=(H-T) \boldsymbol{C}(t) \quad \text { orthogonalization } \quad\left\{\left.\begin{array}{c}
i \frac{d \boldsymbol{C}^{L}(t)}{d t}=M \boldsymbol{C}^{L}(t) \\
\left.C_{j}(t)\right|_{t \rightarrow-\infty}=\delta_{j, 1 s}
\end{array} \quad C_{j}^{L}(t)\right|_{t \rightarrow-\infty}=\delta_{j, 1 s}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

$M$ is Hermitian.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{C}^{L}(t+\Delta t)=\exp (-i \bar{M} \Delta t) \boldsymbol{C}^{L}(t)+O\left(\Delta^{3} t\right) \\
& \quad \bar{M}=M(t+\Delta t / 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Preserving the norm at each time step: $\quad\langle\Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t) \mid \Psi(\boldsymbol{r}, t)\rangle=\sum_{j}\left|C_{j}^{L}(t)\right|^{2}=1$

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{M} & = & V \Lambda V^{+} \\
\exp (-i \bar{M} \Delta t) & = & V \exp (-i \Lambda \Delta t) V^{+}
\end{array}
$$

